OF 100

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. NO. ...4074.../2003

PETITIONER : Devendra Singh Thakur,
Aged about 44 years
S/o Shri Brijlal Singh Thakur
R/o Kondagaon, Distt. Bastar (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through - Secretary,
Department of Forest Mantralaya,
D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

2. Forest Conservator, Kanker,
Distt. Kanker

3. Divisional Forest Officer,
North-Kondagaon, Distt. Bastar (CG)

4. S.D.O., Forest Keshkal,
Distt. Bastar (C.G.)

5. D.L. Kawade, Upper Division Clerk,
Forest Department, Keshkal,
Distt. Bastar, (C.G.)

P. R. No. 38-70/08
Presented by Shri Manoj Rajgire
dated 11.12.08

RECEIVED-COPY
11/12/08
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHERS SUITABLE WRITS, DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS, ORDER/ORDERS

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 4074/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

17-12-2003

Shri Manoj Paranjpe, counsel for the petitioner.

Miss Sharmila Singhai, Deputy Government Advocate takes notice for respondents 1 to 4.

Heard both the counsel.

By this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has questioned the validity and propriety of the order dated 6-10-2003 passed by the respondent No.3 by which he has been transferred from Keshkal to Farasgaon. The petitioner is presently working as U.D.C. in the Forest Department at Keshkal.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that he has been transferred in order to accommodate respondent No.5 on the proposal of the S.D.O.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 to which the learned counsel for respondents 1 to 4 has no objection.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.1 within a period of 7 days and in turn respondent No.1 will decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation and after considering all the grievances of the petitioner shall pass reasoned order in an objective manner.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

29

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	If the petitioner is not relieved then he may be allowed to continue in the service till the representation is decided. With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3653/2003 and I.A. No. 11,836/2003 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	